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Abstract

This paper presents a method to solve an ordinal classification problem where the objects are described with a set of partially ordered features. To construct an ordinal classification model we aggregate partial orders of features by weighting the incidence matrices of partial order graphs. To describe set of possible solutions we use partial order cones corresponding to the incidence matrices and find a solution of the classification problem as the projection of a vector of response variables to a superposition of the cones. We propose a method of optimum parameter estimation for the ordinal classification model. The method is applied to the IUCN Red List categorization problem.
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1. Introduction

We consider an ordinal classification problem using partially ordered feature sets. This problem generalizes the well-known ordinal classification problem with monotonic constraints \cite{1, 2}. The objective is to construct a monotonic function, further calling a classification model, mapping an object set to a class label set such that the class label set is strictly totally ordered. The requirement of the classification model monotonicity is a
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form of prior knowledge of a relation between a response and predictor variables [3]. The motivation for this type of problem statement arises in areas of support decision theory, information retrieval [4, 5, 6] and preference learning [7].

In this paper we consider a general case of classification model monotonicity requirement: the set of values for each feature is a partially ordered set, that is, a partial order relation is defined over the feature values set [8]. The goal is to construct a classification model satisfying monotonicity of relation between partially ordered features and a response variable. To construct a classification model defined over the Cartesian product of the partially ordered sets, we use incidence matrices of the graphs corresponding to the partial orders. We introduce a partial order cone corresponding to the incidence matrix [9, 10]. We regard a superposition of the partial order cones as the set of possible solutions of the ordinal classification problem. In the paper we prove that the constructed cone superposition describes a broad class of monotonic transformations.

As a solution of the ordinal classification problem we find a point in the superposition of the cones, maximizing its correlation with the expert-given target vector. We propose a method of parametrization of the cone superposition to find optimal parameters of the classification model. Using this parametrization, the method of parameter estimation consists of the two independent stages. In the first stage we construct a matrix of pairwise dominance of the objects. This type of matrices is used in alternatives ranking problems [11, 12]. In the paper [13] the authors solve a problem of the linear order construction using the pairwise dominance matrix. In the second stage we solve an ordinal classification problem wherein using the columns of the dominance matrix as the new predictor variables.

In this paper we investigate properties of the partial order cone generators. We show that the generator vectors are equal to the columns of the incidence matrix corresponding to the partial order. This novel fact proves that the proposed method of ordinal classification finds an optimal function in a sufficiently broad class of monotonic transformations.

The proposed method is compared with decision tree and generalized linear regression methods on synthetic and real data. As a real data we consider a problem of the IUCN Red List categorization, also described in [14]. The goal is to categorize those plants and animals
that are facing the higher risk of extinction. The following categories are considered: least concern, near threatened, vulnerable, endangered, critically endangered, extinct in the wild. This categorization is monotonic. The partially ordered features (e.g., population size, area square) are given by the experts. The proposed method shows better results in comparison with the alternative approaches.

2. Ordinal classification with monotonicity constraints problem

**Partially ordered feature set.** Let \( \mathcal{D} \) be a sample consisting of the pairs

\[
\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^m,
\]

where \( \mathbf{x}_i = [x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{ij}, \ldots, x_{in}]^T \) is an object to be classified, \( y_i \) is a class label. An object \( \mathbf{x}_i \) is an \( n \)-dimensional vector, each component of which belongs to the corresponding partially ordered set \( X_j \). In other words, an element \( j \) of the vector \( \mathbf{x}_i \) (which is also referred to as value of the feature \( j \) for the object \( \mathbf{x}_i \)) belongs to the set \( X_j \) with the given partial order relation \( \succeq \) with the following properties:

- **reflexivity**, \( \forall a \in X \ (a \succeq a) \),
- **antisymmetry**, \( \forall a, b \in X, (a \succeq b) \land (b \succeq a) \Rightarrow (a = c) \),
- **transitivity**, \( \forall a, b, c \in X \ (a \succeq b) \land (b \succeq c) \Rightarrow (a \succeq c) \).

Thereby the object set \( X \) is a Cartesian product of the partially ordered sets \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \),

\[
X = X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n,
\]

the sets \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \) are the feature values sets.

Every partial order \( \succeq \), defined on a set \( X_j \), described with a binary function \( z_j(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_k) \) such that

\[
z_j(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_k) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } x_{ij} \succeq x_{kj}, \\
0, & \text{if } x_{ij} \nprec x_{kj},
\end{cases}
\]

where the function \( z_j(\cdot, \cdot) \) satisfies the conditions of reflexivity, antisymmetry and transitivity.
Let us define a partial order matrix $Z_j$ for the sample $D$ and every set $X_j$ such that the matrix describes binary relation between each pair of the sample elements,

$$Z_j(i, k) = z_j(x_i, x_k),$$

where $j$ is a feature index, and $i, k$ are the object indices. Note that for the matrix $Z_j$, as well as for the function $z_j$, elements $Z_j(i, k)$ and $Z_j(k, i)$ do not depend on each other.

For example, the objects $i, k$ can be incomparable with each other for the feature $j$. In this case for the matrix $Z_j$ the following equalities hold:

$$Z_j(i, k) = Z_j(k, i) = 0.$$

On the other hand, the objects $i, k$ can be equal for the feature $j$. In this case, the following equalities hold:

$$Z_j(i, k) = Z_j(k, i) = 1.$$

**Example 1.** The partially ordered set $X_j$ consists of four objects with the following binary relation,

$$X_j = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid x_1 \succeq x_2, x_2 \succeq x_3, x_2 \succeq x_4 \}.$$

The partial order on the objects $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ is described by a partial order graph,

$$
x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow x_3 \rightarrow x_4
$$

This graph corresponds to a matrix $Z_j$:

$$Z_j = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.$$

The class label set

$$Y = \{l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_K\}, \quad y_i \in Y$$

(1)
is a finite set with the specified strict linear order relation, \( l_1 \prec l_2, \ldots, \prec l_K \), where \( K \) is a number of the class labels. Similarly to the matrices \( \mathbf{Z}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}_n \), construct a partial order matrix \( \mathbf{Z}_0 \) corresponding to the vector of class labels \( \mathbf{y} = [y_1, \ldots, y_m]^T \) of the sample \( \mathcal{D} \):

\[
\mathbf{Z}_0(i, k) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } y_i \succeq y_k, \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

**Partial order cone.** Introduce a polyhedral cone \( \mathcal{X} \), corresponding to the partially ordered set of feature values.

**Definition 1.** A polyhedral cone in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) is a set \( \mathcal{X} \) such that

\[
\mathcal{X} = \{ \mathbf{x} \mid A\mathbf{x} \leq 0, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m \},
\]

for some matrix \( A \).

For each set \( \mathcal{X}_j \) define a cone \( \mathcal{X}_j \) in a space \( \mathbb{R}_+^m \):

\[
\mathcal{X}_j = \{ \mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}_+^m \mid x_{ij} \geq x_{kj} \rightarrow \chi_{ij} \geq \chi_{kj} \ \forall i, k = 1, \ldots, m \},
\]

(2)

where \( x_{ij} \) and \( x_{kj} \) are values of the feature \( j \) on the sample elements \( i \) and \( k \), respectively. For the cone \( \mathcal{X} \) (index \( j \) is omitted for the reasons of convenience) the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 1.** A vector \( \mathbf{x} \) belonging to the cone \( \mathcal{X} \) can be represented as the nonnegative combination of cone generators,

\[
\mathbf{x} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \mathbf{c}_k, \quad \lambda_k \geq 0,
\]

where \( \mathbf{c}_k \) is a generatrix of the cone \( \mathcal{X} \),

\[
\mathbf{c}_k(i) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } x_i \succeq x_k, \\
0, & \text{if } x_i \not\succeq x_k,
\end{cases}
\]

and this decomposition is unique.

The fig. 1 illustrates vector \( \mathbf{x} \) decomposition on the generators \( \mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2 \) of the cone \( \mathcal{X} \). The vector \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} \) is a nonnegative combination of the generators \( \mathbf{c}_1 = [1; 1] \) and \( \mathbf{c}_2 = [1; 0] \) with the coefficients \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0 \).

Theorem 1 establishes the following connection between the cone \( \mathcal{X}_j \) and the partial order matrix \( \mathbf{Z}_j \): the generatrix \( \mathbf{c}_{jk} \) of the cone \( \mathcal{X}_j \) is a column \( k \) of the matrix \( \mathbf{Z}_j \).
Ordinal classification with monotonicity constraints. In this section we formulate the problem of ordinal classification with monotonicity constraints; the constraints monotonicity means satisfying the given object binary relations.

As it was mentioned before (1), the set of class labels $Y = \{1, 2, ..., K\}$ is a finite set with the specified strict linear order relation, $l_1 \prec l_2, ..., \prec l_K$. The goal is to construct a monotonic function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ minimizing a given loss function,

$$S(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} s(f(x_i), y_i) \rightarrow \min,$$

where $s(f(x_i), y_i)$ is a loss value of the function $f$ on the object $x_i$. We construct a monotonic function $f$ as the following superposition,

$$f(x) = \phi(u(x)),$$

where $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a utility function mapping object set $X$ to the real axis $\mathbb{R}$, and $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Y$ is a decision rule dividing the real axis into the sets of correspondence to the class labels $l_1, ..., l_K$. 

---

**Picture:** Vector decomposition on the cone generators

**Equation:** $f(x) = \phi(u(x))$
Using conic description of the partially ordered sets (2), find a solution of the problem (4) as follows. Find a vector \( \hat{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m \) that belongs to the superposition of the cones \( \mathcal{X}_1, ..., \mathcal{X}_n \) and minimizes the loss function (3),

\[
S(\mathcal{D}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} s(\phi(\hat{y}_i), y_i) \rightarrow \min, \quad \hat{y} \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{X}_i,
\]

where \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{X}_i \) denotes a Minkowski sum of the sets \( \mathcal{X}_1, ..., \mathcal{X}_n \):

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{X}_i = \{ \mathbf{x}_1 + ... + \mathbf{x}_n \mid \mathbf{x}_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathcal{X}_n \}.
\]

Note that though a Minkowski sum of the cones \( \mathcal{X}_1, ..., \mathcal{X}_n \) is a special case of a superposition of the sets \( \mathcal{X}_1, ..., \mathcal{X}_n \), it still defines a sufficiently broad class of monotonic transformations. The concept of Minkowski sum generalizes linear model idea in the case of ordered feature sets.

As long as target vector \( \hat{y} \) must belong to the Minkowski sum of the cones, \( \hat{y} \in \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{X}_i \), from Theorem 1 it follows that the vector \( \hat{y} \) decomposes in a linear combination of generators of the cones \( \mathcal{X}_1, ..., \mathcal{X}_n \),

\[
\hat{y} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{jk} \zeta_{jk}, \quad \lambda_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \zeta_{jk} \text{ is a } k \text{-generatrix of the cone } \mathcal{X}_j.
\]

where \( \zeta_{jk} \) is also a \( k \) column of the partial order matrix \( \mathbf{Z}_{j} \), see fig. 1. Therefore the utility function \( u \) from the formula (4) for any object \( x \in X \) is as follows,

\[
u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{jk} z_j(x, x_k), \quad (5)
\]

where the parameters \( w_j \) are feature weights.

Note that the problem statement (5) is equivalent to a canonical problem of ordinal classification with monotonic constraints. The problem is to find a utility function \( u \) as a linear combination of monotonic functions \( u_1, ..., u_n \):

\[
u(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j u_j(x_j), \quad (6)
\]
where every function $u_j$ is monotonic due to its argument $x \in X_j$,

$$x_2 \geq x_1 \rightarrow u_j(x_2) \geq u_j(x_1). \quad (7)$$

For the further considerations make some restrictions over a class of functions $u(x)$. Rewrite a formula (5) as follows,

$$u(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j z_j(x, x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \Psi(x, x_k). \quad (8)$$

The model (8) has equal parameter values $\lambda_k$ for all the features $j$. In this case the parameters $\lambda_k$ are the object weights for the sample $\mathcal{D}$. The function $\Psi(x_i, x_k)$ describes partial order relation between the objects $x_i$ and $x_k$ and takes values in the unit interval $[0; 1]$,

$$\Psi(x_i, x_k) \in [0, 1].$$

The function $\Psi(x_i, x_k)$ can be interpreted as the pairwise dominance degree between the objects $x_i$ and $x_k$, $x_i \succeq x_k$.

**Loss function minimization for the optimal parameters search.** To solve the problem of ordinal classification with monotonic constraints (3), let us to formulate a problem of finding the optimal parameters of the model (8). According to formula (3), the optimal parameters $\hat{w}, \hat{\lambda}$ minimize the loss function

$$(\hat{w}, \hat{\lambda}) = \arg\min_{w, \lambda} (S(\mathcal{D}|w, \lambda)) = \arg\min_{w, \lambda} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} s(f_{w,\lambda}(x_i), y_i) \right),$$

where $s(f_{w,\lambda}(x_i), y_i)$ — is the value of loss on an object $x_i$, and a monotonic function

$$f_{w,\lambda}(x_i) = \phi \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \Psi(x_i, x_k) \right) \quad (9)$$

is defined by the expressions (4) and (8). The explicit form of the loss function $S$, of the decision rule $\phi$ and of the binary relation function $\Psi$ will be considered in the next section.
3. An ordinal classification algorithm

To estimate parameters of the model (9) we propose a two-stage algorithm. In the first stage estimate the partial order matrix $\Psi$, where the elements of the matrix $\Psi$ equal to the values of function $\Psi$ on the objects of the sample $\mathcal{D}$,

$$\Psi(i, k) = \Psi(x_i, x_k).$$

In the second stage estimate parameters $\lambda_k$ of a linear combination $\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \Psi(x_i, x_k)$ from (8).

**Partial order matrix estimation.** Estimate matrix $\Psi$ of the pairwise objects dominance using matrices $Z_1, ..., Z_n$ corresponding to the partially ordered feature sets. Let every element of a matrix $\Psi$ be a linear combination of elements of the matrices $Z_1, ..., Z_n$, according to (6):

$$\Psi(i, k) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j Z_j(i, k).$$

The optimal parameters $\hat{w}$ minimize a loss function

$$\hat{w} = \arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left( Z_0(i, k) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j Z_j(i, k) \right)^2,$$

where $Z_0$ is a partial order matrix corresponding to the class label vector $y$. Since the target variable $Z_0(i, k)$ is binary, we propose to estimate an optimal parameter vector $\hat{w}$ using one of the standard two-class classification methods. In this paper we use the logistic regression method.

**Example 2.** Give an example of a linear combination of matrices $Z_1, Z_2$ corresponding to the agreed partial orders. Consider a set consisting of the four elements $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and the matrix $Z_1$ from Example 1,

$$Z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

corresponding to the partial order

$$x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow x_3 \quad x_4$$
As a second matrix $Z_2$, consider

$$Z_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},$$

corresponding to the linear order

$$x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow x_3 \rightarrow x_4.$$

Construct a matrix $\Psi$ as a linear combination of the matrices $Z_1, Z_2$ with the parameters $w_1 = w_2 = \frac{1}{2}$:

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{2}Z_1 + \frac{1}{2}Z_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1/2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the matrices $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ correspond to the almost equivalent object orderings, the only element of the matrix $\Psi$ equals $\frac{1}{2}$. This fact can be interpreted as uncertainty in the dominance of the only pair of objects $x_3$ and $x_4$.

**Example 3.** Give an example of matrices $Z_1, Z_2$ of the disagree partial orders. Consider the matrix $Z_1$ from the previous example,

$$Z_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},$$

and as a matrix $Z_2$ consider

$$Z_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix},$$

corresponding to the linear order

$$x_1 \leftarrow x_2 \leftarrow x_3 \leftarrow x_4.$$

Similarly to the previous example, construct a matrix $\Psi$ as a linear combination of the matrices $Z_1, Z_2$ with the parameters $w_1 = w_2 = \frac{1}{2}$:

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{2}Z_1 + \frac{1}{2}Z_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\
1/2 & 1 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\
1/2 & 1/2 & 1 & 0 \\
1/2 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the matrices $Z_1$ and $Z_2$ correspond to the almost opposite object orderings, almost all elements of the matrix $\Psi$ equal $\frac{1}{2}$. This fact can be interpreted as uncertainty in the dominance of the almost all pairs of objects.
Object weights estimation. Estimate parameters $\lambda_k$ of the function (9) using the partial order matrix $\Psi$ estimation,

$$
f(x_i) = \phi \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \Psi(x_i, x_k) \right).$$

To estimate the parameters $\lambda_k$ use a logistic regression method. Classify an object $x_i$ as follows,

$$f(x_i) = \begin{cases} 
  y_1 & \text{if } u(x_i) \leq \mu_1, \\
  y_2 & \text{if } \mu_1 < u(x_i) \leq \mu_2, \\
  \ldots, \\
  y_K & \text{if } \mu_K < u(x_i),
\end{cases}$$

where the utility function

$$u(x_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \Psi(x_i, x_k).$$

Here $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_K$ are the decision rule parameters dividing the real axis $\mathbb{R}$ to the into the sets of correspondence to the class labels $l_1, \ldots, l_K$. The optimal parameters are estimated by minimization of the loss function $S$,

$$S(\lambda, \mu) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \log \left( \sigma(\mu_{y_i} - \lambda^T \psi_i) - \sigma(\mu_{y_{i-1}} - \lambda^T \psi_i) \right) \rightarrow \min,$$

where $\sigma$ is a sigmoid function,

$$\sigma(t) = 1/(1 + \exp(-t)),$$

and $\psi_i$ is a column $i$ of the matrix $\Psi$.

4. Computational experiment

In this section we illustrate the algorithm for the problem of the IUCN Red List categorization and compare results with the alternative approaches. The fig. 2 shows the first stage of the proposed algorithm, an estimation of the matrix $\Psi$ using the matrices $Z_0, Z_1, \ldots, Z_n$. The fig. 2 shows the original $Z_0$ and the estimated $\Psi$ matrices for the IUCN Red List.
Rис. 2: An example of the original matrix $Z_0$ and the estimated matrix $\Psi$ for the problem of the IUCN Red List categorization problem. For this demonstration we chose object with three expert-given categories (CR — critical, EN — endangered, VU — vulnerable) and construct a binary matrix $Z_0$ shown at fig. 2(a). The fig. 2(b) shows the estimated matrix $\Psi$. Every element of the matrix $\Psi(i, k)$ shows pairwise dominance of the objects $x_k$ and $x_i$.

The fig. 3 shows the second stage of the algorithm for the Red List sample. Three columns of the matrix $\Psi$ were chosen for the demonstration, so that the feature space is three-dimensional. The marker color shows an original expert classification, the circle color shows classification estimated by an algorithm. In particular, the fig. 3 indicates the model «outliers» — objects, improperly classified by the experts.

**Algorithms comparison.** The table 1 shows comparison of the proposed method with the method of decision trees and with the generalized linear regression. The loss function is Hamming distance between the class labels,

$$s(y, \hat{y}) = |y - \hat{y}|.$$
5. Conclusion

The proposed method of the ordinal classification problem uses partially ordered sets of expert estimations of features. We considered an alternative problem statement for the ordinal classification with monotonic constraints. Each partially ordered feature set corresponds to the partial order cone and to the matrix of the partial order graph. We find the solution of an ordinal classification problem as the projection to the cones superposition. The proposed method is compared with alternative approaches on the IUCN Red List dataset. The quality of classification was improved using the ideas considered in the present paper.
Таблица 1: Algorithm comparison on the IUCN Red List dataset. The loss function is Hamming distance between class labels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Learn error</th>
<th>Test error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partial Orders</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Trees</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized Linear Model</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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